

10 NOV 2005

#3



UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Peter F. Corless
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, Massachusetts 02205

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| In re Application of | : | DECISION ON |
| Christian Hubschwerlen et al | : | |
| Application No.: 10/553,731 | : | |
| PCT No.: PCT/EP04/003306 | : | |
| Int. Filing Date: 29 March 2004 | : | PETITION UNDER |
| Priority Date: 08 April 2003 | : | |
| Attorney's Docket No.: 64348(41925) | : | |
| For: NOVEL COMPOUNDS HAVING AN | : | |
| ANTI-BACTERIAL ACTIVITY | : | 37 CFR 1.137(b) |

This decision is in response to applicants' "Petition For Revival Of An International Application For Patent Designating The U.S. Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)," filed on 18 October 2005.

BACKGROUND

On 29 March 2004, this international application was filed, claiming an earliest priority date of 08 April 2003.

The deadline for paying the basic national fee in the United States under 35 U.S.C. 371 and 37 CFR 1.495 was 08 October 2005. This international application became abandoned with respect to the United States at midnight on 08 October 2005 for failure to pay the required basic national fee.

On 18 October 2005, applicants filed the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) and Transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States, which was accompanied by the basic national fee and the petition fee.

DISCUSSION

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by (1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application; (2) the petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m); and (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional

information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20 (d)) required pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.

Petitioner has provided: (1) the proper reply by submitting the basic national filing fee, (2) the petition fee set forth in §1.17(m) and (3) the proper statement under 137(b)(3). In this application, no terminal disclaimer is required.

Accordingly, the petition is deemed to satisfy requirements (1), (2), (3), and (4) under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

DECISION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

This application is being returned to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) for continued processing.



Rafael Bacares

PCT Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Office

Telephone: (571) 272-3276

Facsimile: (571) 273-0459